CC:PA:LPD:PR (REG-134417-13) Room 5205, Internal Revenue Service P.O. Box 7604, Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044

Re: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Guidance for Tax-Exempt Social Welfare Organizations on Candidate-Related Political Activities, REG-134417-13

These comments are submitted in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on Guidance for Tax-Exempt Social Welfare Organizations on Candidate-Related Political Activities.

While we appreciate the stated goal of the Department of the Treasury (Treasury) and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) of providing greater clarity to reduce the need for detailed factual analyses in determining whether an organization will engage in political activity, we do not think this NPRM is the right approach. We request that Treasury and the IRS withdraw the proposed regulation and work with the regulated community to develop a better approach.

The NPRM overreaches by capturing as "political" (defined in the NPRM as "Candidate-Related Political Activity" or CRPA) certain activities without regard to whether they are conducted on a partisan or nonpartisan basis, and in many cases without adding clarity (for instance, by failing to define certain key terms), yet only addresses some of the relevant issues long unsettled for 501(c)(4) organizations.

If adopted, the proposed regulations would reclassify as CRPA much of the civic engagement work that 501(c)(4)s conduct during election season, such as promoting public participation in elections, strengthening democracy by educating voters, and educating candidates about the needs of the community. Therefore the NPRM would limit how much of this activity—which has long been recognized by the IRS as promoting the general welfare of the community—501(c)(4)s can do.

For instance, we are troubled that activities such as the following are defined as CRPA:

- Voter registration and GOTV: The NPRM would classify as CRPA <u>all</u> voter registration and get-out-the-vote activities, including efforts to encourage citizens to participate in a referendum election even where no candidates are on the ballot. This will limit the ability of social welfare organizations to encourage eligible citizens to participate in the democratic process by registering to vote and then voting in elections.
- **Hosting nonpartisan candidate events**: Any event within 30 days of a primary or 60 days of a general election would be considered CRPA if one or more candidates in such election appear as part of the program—even in a non-candidate capacity. The result will be to restrict the ability of 501(c)(4) organizations to sponsor candidate debates and forums which seek to educate the public concerning the candidates' views, and will also narrow the opportunities available for public officials to meet with their constituents.
- **Public communications**: Any public communication disseminated within 30 days of a primary or 60 days of a general election that refers to one or more clearly identified candidates in that election or, in the case of a general election, refers to one or more political parties represented in that election will be treated as CRPA. *This is true even if the candidate is referenced in a non-candidate capacity*. This will limit the

ability of 501(c)(4)s to communicate on many policy-related issues in a wide array of communications, including websites, newspapers, magazines, paid advertising, and any other communication that reaches or is intended to reach more than 500 persons. The NPRM abandons the carefully reasoned distinctions between political and legitimate policy advocacy communications the IRS adopted in earlier rulings.

- Grants and transfers to 501(c) organizations: The NPRM considers as CRPA any gift, grant, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value to any organization described in 501(c) that engages in CRPA, unless the contributor obtains a written statement that the grantee does not engage in CRPA and the contribution is subject to a written restriction that it not be used for CRPA. This proposal is far more restrictive than current law, and could significantly deter legitimate social welfare activities by 501(c)(4) organizations. For instance, grantees may be uncertain about their future plans and reluctant to certify they will never engage in CRPA activities. Moreover, the full amount of the grant will be treated as CRPA regardless of the amount of CRPA actually conducted by the grantee organization. In addition, it would deter many 501(c)(4)s from transferring funds to 501(c)(3) organizations that are engaging in nonpartisan, charitable activities now considered CRPA for 501(c)(4)s. These 501(c)(3) organizations, already absolutely prohibited from engaging in partisan political activities, would not be able to certify that they do not engage in any CRPA.
- **Judicial and executive branch appointments**: Activities seeking to influence nominations and appointments to executive and judicial branch positions are deemed to be CRPA. There is no legal basis for broadening the definition of candidate beyond elected public office, and in fact doing so completely ignores the Service's longstanding position, formally stated in Notice 88-76, that such activities do not constitute participation or intervention in a political campaign.

The NPRM states that Treasury and the IRS are considering whether the "primary" standard in the current regulations should be changed and if so, whether it should be redefined to incorporate an "insubstantial part" test. Treasury and the IRS should not use this NPRM to restrict the amount of political activity that 501(c)(4)s may undertake. Congress has chosen over many years and on numerous occasions not to limit the amount of political campaign activity that may be undertaken by 501(c)(4) organizations under the IRS' long-standing "primary purpose" standard. Treasury and the IRS should not therefore revise the primary purpose test for political activity in the current regulation.

Finally, we strongly recommend that Treasury and the IRS adopt the same sets of rules for defining political campaign activity for 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations. Having two sets of definitions of political campaign activity would only lead to confusion and misunderstanding in the regulated community, especially among the many small and mid-sized organizations that cannot afford to retain legal counsel. However, we do not support applying the new restrictive definition of CRPA to 501(c)(3)s; rather, this provides another reason for rejecting the far-reaching definition of CRPA set forth in the NPRM for 501(c)(4)s.

For all of these reasons, we urge Treasury and the IRS to withdraw the NPRM and work closely with the regulated community (whether through public hearings, new rulemakings, or other avenues) to determine the best way to address the issues raised in this NPRM.

Respectfully submitted,

Organizations joining in comments

Alliance for Justice

Alliance for Justice Action Campaign

Action for the Common Good

America Votes

American Association of University Women (AAUW)

American Federation of Teachers, Washington

Americans for the Arts Action Fund

APACE

Arizona Advocacy Network

Asian Pacific Self-development and Residential Association (APSA)

Chicago Coalition for the Homeless

Center for Inquiry

Center for Popular Democracy

Change Lab Solutions

Colorado Nonprofit Association

DC Children and Youth Investment Trust Corporation

Donors Forum

Environmental Working Group

EWG Action Fund

Faultline Foundation

Feminist Majority

Georgia Equality

Human Rights Campaign

Jewish Alliance for Law & Social Action

Lydia B Stokes Foundation

Maine Association of Nonprofits

Maine Women's Lobby

Manes and Tails Organization

Maryland Nonprofits

Maternal and Child Health Access

MichUHCAN

Ms. Foundation for Women

MoveOn.org Civic Action

NAACP National Voter Fund

NARAL Pro-Choice America

National Disability Rights Network

National Employment Lawyers Assn

National Committee for Responsive Philanthropy

NETWORK, A National Catholic Social Justice Lobby

Nevada Conservation League

OneAmerica Votes

Peace & Justice Action League

PICO Action Fund

PICO National Network

PLACE Practitioners Leveraging Assets for Community Enhancement

Planned Parenthood Action Fund

Population Action International

ProGeorgia

ProgressNow Nevada Action

Social Impact Law

Stand for Children Leadership Center

Statewide Poverty Action Network

Texas Campaign for the Environment

The Corridor Counts

The Micah Project

The Partnership for Working Families

The Voter Participation Center

The Washington Bus

Tides/The Advocacy Fund

Utahans Against Hunger

Washington CAN!

Washington Public Campaigns

Wild Swan Resources

Women's Voices

Women Vote Action Fund

Individuals (institutional affiliations may be provided for identification purposes only)

Sharon Maeda, 21 PROGRESS

Linda Meric, 9to5 & National Association of Working Women

Wana Stephens, American Association of University Women

Kristen Zehner, AFSCME Retirees Local

Maryann Martindale, Alliance for a Better Utah

Rochelle Rubin, Alpern Family Foundation Inc.

Herman Martinez, American Friends Service Committee

Janine Motta, Animal Protection League of NJ

Randy Harrison, American Postal Workers Union

Mireya Reith, Arkansas United Community Coalition

Timmy Lu, Asian Pacific Environmental Network Action

Sharon Gillespie, Austin Community College

Tirso Moreno, Bert and Mary Meyer Foundation

Vincent Newman, BTU AFT Local 1975

Renata Brillinger, California Climate and Agriculture Network (CalCAN)

Lola Young, California Senior Legislature

John Furman, Central New York Citizens in Action, Inc.

Le Tim Ly, Chinese Progressive Association

Risha Jamison, Citizen Engagement Lab

Christopher Lee, CL Enterprises

Elizabeth Allen, Coalition of Community Groups

Janet Hill, Coalition of Labor Union Women

Eugenia Colon, Colon & Associates, LLC

Mariana Moore, Contractors' Alliance of Contra Costa

Charles Smith, Co-operate Colorado

Richard Heaning, CWA 1104

Sue Udry, Defending Dissent Foundation

Keally Cieslik, Direct Action for Rights & Equality

James Thomas, Duke University

Martin Bourque, Ecology Center

Sondra Haltom, Empower the Vote Texas

Steve Box, Environmental Stewardship

Eva Paterson, Equal Justice Society

Sandy Oestreich, Equal Rights Alliance

Carrie Evans, Equality Maryland

Tim Heberlein, Florida Consumer Action Network

Elizabeth Buckley, FOCUS-Orlando

Lane Brooks, Food & Water Watch

Lani Shaw, General Service Foundation

Michael McKnight, GHHI

Angela Smith, HEAL

Tony Mrsich, High Techniques

Rian Van Leauven, IDAHO AFL-CIO

Michael Tomczyszyn, Jefferson Union High School District

Vivienne Spector, League of Women Voters of Abington-Cheltenham-Jenkintown

Deloris Manny, McHenry County Citizens for Choice

Bradford Brown, Miami Dae NAACP, Democratic Committeeman Miami

Bob Tracy, Minnesota Council on Foundations

Mary Ochs, MKO Consulting Services

William Lawless, MSPCC

Elissa Menconi, NASW

Vincent Patti, NASW, AAA, ABIP

Fred Nadelman, National Association of Social Workers

Carole Levine, National Council of Jewish Women

Daniel Espinosa, National People's Action Campaign

James Hemm, New Jersey Association on Correction

Sandra Jones, NNFP

Bett Sundermeyer, No Kill Houston

William Rowe, North Carolina Justice Center

Joseph Bishop, Opportunity Action

Priscilla Atwood, PAX CHRISTI

Jerry Pena, PICO United Florida

Anna Scholl, ProgressVA

Tim Little, Rose Foundation

Patricia Kallsen, SAIL

Linda Cowan. Save Our Cumberland Mountains

Ryan Wilson, South Carolina Equality

Stan Fitzgerald, St. Francis of Assisi Catholic Community

Leslie Weber, Stand for Children

Beth Dannhardt, Triumph Treatment Services

Randy Knop, Turcotte, Inc.

Richard Abel, UCLA

Mira Nair, University of Cambridge

Elizabeth Iglesias, University of Miami School of Law

Heather Booth, USAction

John, Utica Citizens in Action

Michael Iannone, Jr, UTU

Ms. Peterson, Virginia C.U.R.E.

Jane Van Praag, Wilco Family Justice Alliance

Sarah Nason, Win/Win Action

Jennifer Epps-Addison, Wisconsin Jobs Now

Julie Young, YWCA-NYC

Individuals

Abby Levine

Tina Horowitz

LuRetta Fairman

Alita DeMarco

Nadia Sindi

Stephen Sleeper

Kevin Vaught

Dale Peterson

Angelia DiGuiseppe

Marie Fitzsimmons

HowardStein

Robert Madorran

Carla Compton

Jason Turuc

William Gonzalez

Lisa Hershey

Achmad Chadran

Carmen Ramirez

Lori Mulvey

Betty Kuhns

Barbara Hicks

Bill Kellogg

Kate Villers

Susan Bredau

Donald Clark

Harmon Burstyn

Dana Hoffman

Dorothy Donnell

Jeff Schoenberg

Ken Brucker

Eric Bottomly

Belen Seara

Jenny Skoble

Robert Whitney

Bob Nace

John Moore

Susan Puscheck

Nicole Juan

Meryle Korn

Sharon Dupree

Sharon Powell

Michael Evans

Ronald Fairman

Patricia Ceccarelli

Edwin Morgado

Owen Jones

M Barrera-Martinez

Kenneth Boyle

Ken Goldsmith

Anna Roblin

Eneshal Miller

Mindy Bilderback

Felix Fusco

Judi Aronowitz

Colleen Lobel

Dianne Richardson

M S Meyers

Peter Harwood

Bonnie Faith-Smith

Gaile Carr

Ted Neumann

John Papandrea

Tom Jackson

Denise Hanley

Coralie Carraway

Beverly Jahn

Terry Huey

Robert Kalovsky

Deb Holzem

Carol Fruth

Jill Ransom

John Kirchner

William McMullin

Stephen Oviatt

Jared Cornelia

Henry Weinberg

Lionel Ortiz

Charles Wilson

John Barbour

Sandra Remick

Floss Shahbegian

Karen Shovein

Ted Fishman

Sondra Haltom

Randy Silverman

Lydia Garvey

Sherman Kane

Joanne Tioran

Melissa Mikesell

Elisa Cafferata

Pamela Welch

Dr. R. Heather Jaffan

Rev. Steve Clunn

Colleen Halley

John Wade

Mariah McKay

Jenny Heinz

Liza White

Edwin Miller

Laura Robinson

Ron Peterson Richard Robinson Bruce Eggum Timothy Foley Terry Wilson Liz Cole