

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LAURA HOLMES and PAUL JOST,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:14-cv-1243-RMC

AMENDED ORDER

In accordance with the Mandate in this case issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on June 23, 2016 and its underlying Opinion and Judgment dated April 26, 2016 (Circuit Case No. 15-5120), it is hereby

ORDERED that the following constitutional question, together with the facts found by this Court in its decision of April 20, 2015, *Holmes, et al. v. Fed. Election Comm'n*, 99 F. Supp. 3d 123, 126-36 (D.D.C. 2015), is **CERTIFIED** to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit for en banc consideration pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 30110:

When federal law limits individual contributors to giving \$2,600 to a candidate for use in the primary election and \$2,600 to a candidate for use in the general election and denies Plaintiffs the ability to give \$5,200 to a candidate solely for use in the general election, does it violate Plaintiffs' rights of freedom to associate guaranteed by the First Amendment, U.S. Const. amend. I?

Date: June 29, 2016

/s/
ROSEMARY M. COLLYER
United States District Judge