## December 14, 2015

The Honorable Kevin Brady Chairman House Ways and Means Committee 301 Cannon House Office Building Washington, DC 20515 The Honorable Sander Levin Ranking Member House Ways and Means Committee 1236 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Brady and Ranking Member Levin:

The undersigned civil liberties, free expression, and nonprofit advocacy groups and attorneys who represent advocacy organizations have a wide variety of views on the political spectrum. However, we are united in writing to express our strong support for the Fair Treatment for All Gifts Act (H.R. 1104), which passed the House by a voice vote on April 15, 2015. The text of this bill is currently under consideration for inclusion in the Tax Extenders legislation being negotiated by Congressional leaders. The undersigned groups have varying views on the merits of any Tax Extenders legislation, but we are united in agreement that the provisions of H.R. 1104 should become law.

Application of the gift tax to 501(c)(4) donors raises serious constitutional questions, and threatens to hamstring smaller or start-up citizens' groups. The provisions in H.R. 1104 would lock in current policy at the Internal Revenue Service that exempts contributors to 501(c)(4) social welfare organizations from the gift tax. We applaud Congress for taking steps to end uncertainty on the question.

Nonprofit organizations play a crucial role in American society by allowing citizens to associate and speak with one voice on a wide variety of important public policy issues. The clarity that would be provided by this legislation would help ensure the continued vitality of these important organizations and would help safeguard taxpayers and nonprofit organizations against unfair or biased treatment by the IRS in the future.

It would also create parity between 501(c)(4) organizations and charities and political groups, which are already exempt from the tax under existing law. There is no sound tax policy reason to impose a gift tax on contributions to 501(c)(4) organizations, which combine features of 501(c)(3) charities and 527 political organizations, while exempting contributions to a 501(c)(3) or 527 organization if it stands alone.

Perhaps more important, however, application of the gift tax in this context would raise serious problems under the rights of free speech and freedom of association guaranteed by the Constitution.

While it is true that the government may opt not to subsidize certain types of activities through tax exemptions or deductions, it may not burden expressive or associational activity through taxation, and the gift tax would present an enormous burden on 501(c)(4) groups. Were it applied, many donors would simply stop giving money to various causes, or would give only up to the annual exclusion of \$14,000.

Smaller and less well-resourced nonprofits often rely on larger gifts to exist at all. To the extent the government would be putting some social welfare groups out of business through the imposition of the gift tax, it raises constitutional issues of the highest moment.

Additionally, imposing the gift tax on donors to nonprofit groups could present an unconstitutional condition. While, again, the government may choose not to subsidize certain activities through tax exemptions or deductions, it may not condition the exercise of a constitutional right—the freedom to associate with social welfare groups by donating money to them—on payment of the tax.

In light of the current IRS policy that the tax does not apply to such donations, Congress should enact that policy into law, which would protect the First Amendment rights to speak and associate, provide clarity for nonprofit groups, and support the agency on this important issue.

Sincerely,

Alliance for Justice American Civil Liberties Union Center for Competitive Politics American Association of University Women Action Fund American Principles in Action Americans for Tax Reform Council for Citizens Against Government Waste FreedomWorks, Inc. Human Rights Campaign NAACP National Voter Fund Tea Party Patriots The Conservation Campaign

The undersigned attorneys are doing so in their individual capacity and not on behalf of their law firms.

Jeffrey P. Altman Whiteford Taylor Preston

Gregory L. Colvin Adler & Colvin

Alan P. Dye Webster, Chamberlain & Bean, LLP

Craig Engle Arent Fox LLP

Rosemary E. Fei Adler & Colvin

Eric Gorovitz Adler & Colvin Benjamin P. Keane Dentons US LLP

Elizabeth Kingsley Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Eisenberg, LLP

Jason Kohout Foley & Lardner LLP

Cleta Mitchell Foley & Lardner LLP

Stefan Passantino Dentons US LLP

John Pomeranz Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Eisenberg, LLP

Barbara Rhomberg Law Office of Barbara Rhomberg

Jason Torchinsky Holtzman Vogel Josefiak Torchinsky PLLC

Charles M. Watkins Webster, Chamberlain & Bean, LLP

Bridget M. Weiss Arnold & Porter LLP

Jeffery L. Yablon Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

Barnaby Zall Weinberg, Jacobs & Tolani, LLP